CHAPTER SIXTEEN

CONCLUSION

THIS DIALOGUE BETWEEN SCIENCE AND CHRISTIAN FAITH HAS suggested a continuing problem related to human understanding of the kind of world in which we live. Both science and faith deal with data that requires interpretation. Unfortunately, both science and faith can mistake an interpretation of the data for the reality behind the data.

Issues in Dialogue

When a person observes the sun rising in the east, making its way across the sky, and setting in the west day after day, year after year, the self-evident truth of the movement of the sun is obvious to all. This commonly held worldview did not require elaboration, because everyone knew it. People accept the worldview, living their lives based on this elaborate view of how the world works, until some great catastrophe shakes confidence in all the assumptions of the society. People rarely recognize the difference between the data and their interpretation of the data.

Five hundred years ago, Western society was beginning to go through a change in worldview. It involved more than a single catastrophe. New ways of understanding the world had been emerging with greater rapidity since the thirteenth century. In the fifteenth century, however, the eastern Roman Empire and its glorious capital of Constantinople fell to the Turks, and a series of adventurers sailed to a new world, eventually circumnavigating the globe. By the early sixteenth century the authority of the pope and the holy Roman emperor had been challenged in such a way that neither would ever recover their old position within society. The assumptions of the average person were changing. The old feudal

system with a top-down series of relationships was giving way at all levels of society. Local princes wanted autonomy from the emperor. Clergy challenged the authority of the pope. Peasants wanted a life of their own.

Ideology and Philosophy

During the modern age, the commonly agreed upon worldview in the West gradually broke down. Despite a nominal acknowledgement of the God of the Bible, a Western worldview with a pantheon of players began to emerge. The West became a place of ideology. Ideologies come in many forms. Ideologies may be political like fascism, democracy, or communism. They may also be economic like capitalism or Marxism. They may be social like utopianism, populism, or Social Darwinism. They may be scientific like naturalism or religious like fundamentalism and liberalism. These ideologies provide only the briefest example of the extent to which Western culture fragmented and lost a common integrating basis for worldview. Many more examples could be cited within these categories, and many more categories could be named.

When a person adopts an ideology, he or she then interprets life experience through the assumptions and affirmations of that ideology. The ideology becomes "the truth." Government bodies interpret the actions of their adversaries or of other nations through their ideology. Scientists interpret the meaning of their data through their ideology. Christians interpret the Bible and base their actions on their ideology. The ideology represents the highest value because it becomes the standard for declaring the laws of nature or the will of God. Ideology can be extremely dangerous. Ideology is a philosophical term.

Since science deals with the natural world, it is very easy for a scientist to assume that only a naturalistic interpretation of the data is valid. Dialogue is nearly impossible if the scientist says only natural processes can be used to interpret data from the natural world. An advocate of naturalism forgets that the scientific method has no mechanism for validating nonphysical phenomena. The advocates of naturalism make the logical leap that what the scientific method cannot prove must not exist.

The Problem of Interpretation

The conflict between science and faith in the late modern age, from Darwin to the present, has not been a conflict between the data of science and faith. It has been a conflict of the interpretation

of the data. This statement should not seem surprising when we consider the differences of interpretation that arise within the scientific community and within the faith community over matters that relate primarily to internal debate.

Luther and Calvin disagreed within the Protestant community over the nature of communion, while both disagreed with the Roman Church, which disagreed with the Eastern Orthodox Church. Yet all agreed about the basic data: "That the Lord Jesus the same night in which he was betrayed took bread: And when he had given thanks, he broke it, and said, Take, eat: this is my body, which is broken for you: this do in remembrance of me. After the same manner also he took the cup, when he had supped, saying, This cup is the new testament in my blood: this do ye, as oft as ye drink it, in remembrance of me" (1 Cor. 11:23–25 KJV).

In coming to the data of Scripture, everyone brought a different set of assumptions and patterns of thinking about "what everyone knows" which affected how they interpreted the data.

Within the scientific community, Einstein and Bohr disagreed with each other over the nature of the subatomic realm. Einstein saw a universe that was determined. He consistently interpreted events in the macroworld and quantum world in such a way as to reinforce this deterministic view. In contrast, Bohr, with his studies in Eastern religion, was comfortable with an indeterminate, discontinuous quantum world. Although Einstein and Bohr never agreed upon the interpretation of the quantum mechanical observations, both did agree upon the quantum mechanical experimental data. While both agreed that quantum theory was very successful, Einstein philosophically saw quantum theory as incomplete, while Bohr philosophically saw quantum theory as complete.

The history of the relationship between science and religion contains numerous examples of the clash between ideologies. The clash was not so much between the Bible and observations of the physical world. The experience of Galileo is often cited to demonstrate the ignorant superstitions of religion and the bigotry of religious people. Galileo's experience actually represents a clash of ideology within the academy of scholars. Galileo's methodology and observations clashed with the Aristotelian ideology of the academic power structure.

The Scopes Monkey Trial is perhaps the most famous example of a science-and-religion clash in the twentieth century. Again, the

term has become synonymous with ignorance and bigotry, although few people realize the ideological nature of the struggle. On the surface, it was between evolution and a one-step creation of man. For William Jennings Bryan, however, it was a fight between populism and social Darwinism. Bryan opposed Darwinism because the data of the fossil record had been interpreted to mean that the white race was the superior race. This interpretation gave great encouragement to the imperialism of the Western powers from the mid-nineteenth century through the world wars. Bryan did not oppose evolution because of the six days of Genesis 1; he believed that an old earth was consistent with the Bible.

Because of the mixture of ideology with interpretation of the data, people grew confused over what the scientific theories actually suggest and what the biblical accounts actually say. Distinctions in terminology between evolution and natural selection escape most people. Natural selection represents an ideological position that goes beyond the data to assert that life developed and proceeds entirely on its own. It excludes the possibility of God. Evolution represents a description of the data of the fossil record that indicates simple forms of life appeared first, followed by more complex forms of life over a great period of time. Evolution does not exclude God's intentional creation of life because it is only a description of the data.

At this point the conflict between science and religion rests on the meaning of time in Genesis 1. We have suggested that the text of Genesis 1 as delivered by God in Hebrew has a much wider understanding of time than the English text traditionally gives. We have suggested that the English translation tradition developed during a period in which fascination with scientific certainty influenced the interpretation of the text by the translators. This issue also affects the conflict between a Big Bang origin of the universe and an act of creation by God. The conflict centers on the discrepancy between a universe that has taken fifteen billion years to arrive at its present state and a universe which God created in one day. We have suggested that a fifteen-billion-year-old universe created in one day is not inconsistent with the biblical text.

Which Science?

We have suggested that the intense conflict between science and Christian faith over the last one hundred and fifty years arose because Christianity had developed the habit of identifying itself too closely with science. After all, modern science is the child of Christian theology. She was born in the monastic schools that grew into the great universities. The great rationalistic tradition that produced proof for the existence of God and the philosophical tradition of systematic theology never quite let go of the desire for certainty which scientific inquiry promised. Over and over, theologians have accommodated themselves to the latest understandings of science. Accommodating to Newton produced Deism, a remote God in a mechanical universe. Accommodation to naturalism produced existentialism, neoorthodoxy, and process theology, attempts to make a case for religious experience without cognitive meaning. But what happens when the science changes?

If we were writing this book in 1900 instead of 2000, the issues would be quite different. First of all, it would have been easier to write since we would not have had to muddle our brains with quantum mechanics and chaos theory. We would be living in a static Newtonian universe, uninfected by theories of relativity and the Big Bang! It would be like living on a flat earth again before Copernicus and Columbus inflated it. If we accommodated our faith to that science, just how progressive, informed, intellectual and reliable would we be?

We have not endorsed the Big Bang cosmogony, evolutionary biology, quantum mechanics, or chaos theory. Neither have we endorsed Calvinism, Arminianism, Dispensationalism, or the social gospel. Scientific theories and Christian theologies share the fallacies of the makers. God is the maker of neither theology nor theory. God may have spoken the world and the Bible into being, but these are different from theories about the world and theologies based on the Bible.

Rather than an antiintellectual stance, we mean to advocate a more rigorous intellectual approach that recognizes our limitations as well as our possibilities. We do not disparage Sir Isaac Newton because he seems to have gotten it wrong about the universe. His Laws of Motion are helpful enough to save countless lives through the modern use of seat belts. Neither do we disparage Thomas Goodwin because he seems to have gotten it wrong about the return of the Lord Jesus Christ in 1666. He still had a fruitful ministry that brought great comfort and consolation to thousands during a time of great social turmoil.

Whatever the science is today, new discoveries made possible by the accelerating technological capacity to conduct experiments will inevitably change our understanding of major aspects of science that we take for granted. It is highly appropriate for science and faith to dialogue in such a way that Christians interact with current science and its theological implications. It is quite another thing, however, for current science to provide the basic resource for theology. For Christians, the Bible provides the basic resource. Likewise, theology cannot form the basic resource for science. The physical world provides that basic resource.

Future Dialogue

We have suggested that just as the Bible is the Word of God written, the physical world is the word of God demonstrated. As it takes faith to read the Bible with understanding and the expectation that God will make something known, it takes faith for the scientist to read the physical world. The scientist must believe the world actually exists.

The question of the objective existence of the world is probably the greatest philosophical question faced by modern science. Christians have largely missed this current crisis while focusing on old issues. Who would have ever thought that the inability to locate one tiny electron would throw the scientific community into disarray? That tiny electron has caused the kind of catastrophe that destroys an entire culture. It destroyed the ideological myth of scientific certainty. Some scientists have arrived at the logical fallacy that if you cannot know everything, then you cannot know anything.

As we have seen, alternative theories are emerging about whether the physical world actually exists. Is the world a construction of the mind? Is it an illusion? It is not necessary for a scientist to believe in God in order to do good research. Belief in God will affect other significant areas of the lives of scientists, but it is quite possible to do good research without a knowledge of God. It is possible to be a successful banker without believing in God. To be successful, however, the banker must believe in the existence of money. Likewise, does a scientist, to be successful, have to believe in the existence of the physical world? Up to now, science has never flourished in cultures that do not believe in the existence of the physical world.

Eastern religions offer a view of reality that provides for an insubstantial universe. This view has great appeal to some who are

struggling to understand the nature of reality in light of quantum mechanics. This quest to understand the nature of reality is a conversation to which Christians can contribute.

The science-and-faith debate has suffered from a conception that the Bible contains the details of creation, and it is either right or wrong. However, the Bible does not contain details about a lot of things. The Bible does not explain how quantum mechanics works. It does not describe the substructure of the atom or the relationship of DNA to heredity. But the Bible has a great deal to say about the ultimate nature of reality and the basis of the physical world. The Bible does not provide a plan for national monetary policy and the regulation of interest rates. It does not provide a plan for foreign aid to underdeveloped countries. It does not give details on how to revitalize a deteriorating inner-city slum and provide a future for its children. Most of the issues faced by modern society have no detailed strategy mapped out in the Bible. Nonetheless, the Bible contains broad transcultural principles that address these and countless other issues.

The Bible deals with broad issues that science cannot address: What is the nature of reality? What is the nature of life? Is there meaning? The Bible makes clear that God is the answer to these and other similar questions. Instead of a static universe of Bishop Ussher and Sir Isaac Newton in the sixteenth century, the grammar of the Hebrew text suggests that God is calling the universe into existence every moment from quantum chaos. This may not be what God is doing at all, but the fact of a physical universe has tremendous implications for the future of science.

The choices of science also have implications for the dialogue of faith and science. Normally referred to as ethics, science faces some enormous questions related to what it has the capacity to do. Scientific discovery inevitably leads to technological application. Did Charles Townes have any idea where his research would eventually lead when he did the groundbreaking work that resulted in the laser? Everything from speeding up checkout time at the supermarket to eye surgery have come from it. We never know where research will lead.

Did Madame Curie envision that her work would result in a nuclear arms race that almost brought the world to extinction and may yet result in nuclear terrorism? In the interplay between science and technology, the realm of faith offers a counterbalance for thinking through the implications of the use of technology. The scientific method does not contain within it a basis for moral decision making, yet at some point someone must make moral decisions related to the application of scientific knowledge. Even the decision to make no decision represents a moral decision.

One school of thought would advocate the pursuit of knowledge wherever it leads. A thought that can be pursued should be pursued. We could apply the same view to other realms of human endeavor, whether it be commerce, philanthropy, crime, art, agriculture, gambling, religion, or sports promotion. One may say that a qualitative difference exists between human endeavors. We would agree. People approve some endeavors and disapprove others. The difference, however, suggests values—and the scientific method has no inherent value. Value comes from some other source. Value may arise solely from individual and collective human experience, or it may come from outside the human realm—from God.

If value is merely a personal opinion or a community opinion, then no essential difference exists between science and sports promotion, or faith for that matter. The nature of reality raises enormous questions about the source of values that people take for granted.

We have suggested that ideology and cultural worldview (both expressions of community opinion) represent a major source of value in the world. We have also suggested, however, that science and faith share a commitment to a value source that lies beyond the human realm. Both are driven by a desire to know what is not seen or evident, yet both proceed with the assurance that what they seek will be found.

When theology accommodates itself to science so that the theology depends upon a particular interpretation of the data, it becomes as obsolete as the old science when a new scientific understanding arises. Likewise, science can easily drift into theology when its philosophical assumptions lead it to make statements about reality that go beyond the scientific method. These issues will probably never go away. Realizing these dynamics, however, will help in pursuing constructive conversation about the nature of physical reality and ethics.

ENDNOTES

Chapter 1

- 1. Howard J. Van Till, Davis A. Young, and Clarence Menninga, *Science Held Hostage* (Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1988), 16.
- 2. Ibid., 12.
- 3. Ibid., 16.
- 4. Del Ratzsch, Philosophy of Science (Downers Grove, Ill.: InterVarsity Press, 1986), 104.
- 5. Ian G. Barbour, Religion and Science (San Francisco: Harper San Francisco, 1997), 107.
- 6. Ibid., 106-36.

- 1. Jacques Monod, Chance and Necessity (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1971), 169.
- 2. Thomas M. Ross, "The Implicit Theology of Carl Sagan," *Pacific Theological Review* 18 (1985), 3:24–32.
- 3. Stephen Jay Gould, Rocks of Ages: Science and Religion in the Fullness of Life (New York: The Ballantine Publishing Group, 1999), 4.
- 4. Arthur D. Peacocke, *Theology for a Scientific Age*, enlarged edition (London: SCM Press, 1993), ix.
- 5. Ian G. Barbour, Religion and Science: Historical and Contemporary Issues (New York: HarperCollins, 1997), 77-106.
- 6. Quoted in Dietrich Schroeer, *Physics and Its Fifth Dimension: Society* (Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1972), 103.
- 7. "The Tables Turned," in William Wordsworth: Selected Poetry, ed. Mark van Doren (New York: The Modern Library, 1950), 83.
- 8. J. W. von Goethe, *Faust*, translated by Carlyle F. MacIntyre (Norfolk, Conn.: New Directions Publishing Corp., 1949), 29–33.
- 9. Quoted by David S. Dockery, "The Grandeur of God and Real Education: A Strategy of Integrating Faith in a Post-Christian Culture," in *The Future of Christian Higher Education*, ed. David S. Dockery and David P. Gushee (Nashville, Tenn.: Broadman & Holman Publishers, 1999), 175–76.
- 10. "Ode on a Grecian Urn," in John Keats: Poems, ed. Gerald Bullett (London: J. M. Dent and Sons, 1974), 192.
- 11. Gwyn MacFarlane, *Howard Florey* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1979). Chapter 8 discusses the discoveries of Fleming.
- 12. John Paul II, "Evolution and the Living God," in Science and Theology: The New Consonance, ed. Ted Peters (Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press, 1998), 149.
- 13. Ted Peters, "Science and Theology: Toward Consonance," in Science and Theology: The New Consonance, ed. Ted Peters (Boulder, Colo.: Westview Press, 1998), 12.
- 14. Albert Einstein, Nature 146 (1941): 605.

- 15. John Paul II, "Message," in *Physics, Philosophy, and Theology, a Common Quest for Understanding*, ed. Robert John Russell, William R. Stoeger, and George V. Coyne (Vatican Observatory: Vatican City State and Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame, 1988), M13.
- 16. Ernan McMullin, "How Should Cosmology Relate to Theology?" in *Science and Theology in the Twentieth Century*, ed. Arthur Peacocke (Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame Press, 1981), 39.
- 17. Ted Peters, ed., Cosmos as Creation (Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1989).
- 18. Ian Barbour, Religion in an Age of Science, vol. 1, The Gifford Lectures 1989–91 (San Francisco: Harper and Row, 1990).
- 19. Willem Bernard Drees, Beyond the Big Bang: Quantum Cosmologies and God (La Salle, Ill.: Open Court, 1990).
- 20. Robert John Russell, "Cosmology: Evidence for God or Partner for Theology?" in Evidence of Purpose: Scientists Discover the Creator, ed. John Marks Templeton (New York: Continuum, 1994), 80.

Chapter 5

- 1. John Joseph Owens has emphasized that the *waw* consecutive construction does not convert the imperfect verb to a perfect state. See Kyle M. Yates and John Joseph Owens, *The Essentials of Biblical Hebrew*, rev. ed. (New York: Harper & Row, 1954), 41, 103–104. I am indebted to Professor Owens with whom I studied the Hebrew text of the Book of Genesis (HLP).
- 2. Note that the figure used to portray the monistic understanding of God looks exactly like the figure used to portray the ontological model of God of classical monotheism (see Fig. 3.3). Yet the Hindu concept of God differs dramatically from the Jewish, Islamic, and Christian concept of God. This discrepancy illustrates again the failure of models to convey adequately the reality of God. For purposes of comparing Figure 3.3 and Figure 5.3, everything inside the circle in Figure 3.3 is God, while everything outside the circle represents God's creation. In Figure 5.3, everything inside the circle represents everything, while nothing remains outside the circle.

Chapter 6

- 1. Jacques Barzun, *Darwin, Marx, Wagner: Critique of a Heritage*, 2nd ed. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1941, 1958, 1981), 36.
- 2. Fred Hoyle, "The Universe: Past and Present Reflection," Annual Reviews of Astronomy and Astrophysics 20 (1982): 16.
- 3. Hugh Ross, *The Creator and the Cosmos*, 2nd expanded edition (Colorado Springs: NAV-PRESS, 1995), 118–121.

- 1. Roger Lewin, "A Lopsided Look at Evolution," Science 241 (1988): 291-293.
- 2. Peter H. Raven and George B Johnson, *Biology*, 5th. ed. (Boston: McGraw Hill, 1999), 409.
- 3. An early example is: Alfred M. Elliott, Zoology, 3rd. ed. (New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1963), 758–59.
- 4. Michael N. Mauerus, Melanism: Evolution in Action (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998).
- 5. Charles Darwin, Origin of Species, 6th. ed. (New York: New York University Press, 1988), 154.
- 6. Ernst Mayr, *Populations, Species, and Evolution* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1970), 1.

Chapter 8

- 1. All Hebrew terms in Genesis and their use in context may be reviewed in John Joseph Owens, *Analytical Key to the Old Testament*, vol. 1 (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1990), 4–5, 7.
- 2. See the article on *nephesh* by H. Seebass in *Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament*, ed. by G. Johannes Butterweck, et al, trans. by David E. Green, vol. 9 (Grand Rapids: Eerdmanns, 1998), 497–519.
- 3. Oxford English Dictionary, vol. 10, Sola-Sz (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1933), 460.

Chapter 9

- 1. Quoted in Michael H. Brown, *The Search for Eve* (New York: Harper and Row, 1990), 241.
- 2. Rebecca L. Cann, Mark Stoneking, and Allan C. Wilson, "Mitochondrial DNA and human evolution," *Nature* 325 (1987): 31–36.
- 3. Robert L. Dorif, Hiroshi Akashi, and Walter Gilbert, "Absence of Polymorphism at the AFY Locus on the Human Y Chromosome," *Science* 268 (1995): 1183–85.
- 4. Ian Barbour, Religion and Science (New York: Harper San Francisco, 1997), 55.
- 5. George Gaylord Simpson, *The Meaning of Evolution* (New York: Mentor Edition, 1951), 143.
- 6. Jacques Barzun, *Darwin, Marx, Wagner: Critique of a Heritage*, 2nd ed. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1941, 1958, 1981), 11, 36.
- 7. Bertrand Russell, quoted in Nancy R. Pearcey and Charles B. Thaxton, *The Soul of Science* (Wheaton, Ill.: Crossway Books, 1994), 117.

- 1. Quoted in David Halliday and Robert Resnick, *Physics* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1962), 1178.
- 2. Markus Arndt, Olaf Nalrz, Julian Vos-Andreae, Claudia Keller, Gerbrand van der Zouw, and Anton Zeilinger, "Wave-particle duality of C₆₀ molecules," *Nature* 401 (1999): 680–82.
- 3. Albert Einstein, Boris Podolsky, and Nathan Rosen, "Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete?" *Physical Review* 47 (1935): 777–80.
- 4. Arthur Robinson, "Loophole Closed in Quantum Mechanics Test," Science 219 (1983): 40-41.
- 5. Paul Davies, Other Worlds (London: Abacus, 1982), 125.
- 6. Louis de Broglie, "Forward" in David Bohm, Causality and Change in Modern Physics (New York: D. van Nostrand, 1957).
- 7. Quoted in Abraham Pais, "Subtle Is the Lord . . .": The Science and Life of Albert Einstein (New York: Oxford University Press, 1982), 443.
- 8. Neils Bohr, Atomic Theory and the Description of Nature (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1934), 18.
- 9. Quoted in Nancy R. Pearcey and Charles B. Thaxton, *The Soul of Science* (Wheaton, Ill., Crossway Books, 1994), 202.
- 10. Quoted in Nick Herber, Quantum Reality: Beyond the New Physics (New York: Doubleday Anchor Books, 1985), 18.
- 11. For example, Fritzof Capra, *The Tao of Physics* (London: Fontana Paperbacks, Flamingo edition, 1975, 1983) and Gary Zuka, *The Dancing Wu Li Masters* (New York: Bantam Books, 1979).
- 12. Frederik Pohl, The Coming of the Quantum Cats (New York: Bantam Books, 1986).
- 13. Quoted in James Gleick, Genius: The Life and Science of Richard Feynman (New York: Pantheon Books, 1992), 436.

Chapter 12

- 1. Werner Heisenberg, Physics and Philosophy (New York: Harper & Row, 1958).
- 2. Werner Heisenberg, *Philosophical Problems of Quantum Physics* (Woodbridge, Conn.: Ox Bow Press, 1979).
- 3. Quoted in M. Jammer, *The Conceptual Development of Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd. ed. (New York: Tomash Publishing Co., 1989), 344.
- 4. Erwin Schrödinger, "The Present Situation in Quantum Mechanics" in J. A. Wheeler and W. H. Zurek, eds., *Quantum Theory and Measurement* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 157.
- 5. As an example, see *Larousse Dictionary of Science and Technology*, gen. ed. Peter M. B. Walker (New York: Larousse, 1995), 967.

Chapter 13

- 1. Edward N. Lorenz, "Deterministic Nonperiodic Flow," Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences 20 (March 1963): 130-41.
- 2. Ibid., 141.
- 3. Uri Merry, Coping with Uncertainty: Insights from the New Science of Chaos, Self-Organization and Complexity (Westport, Conn.: Praeger Publishers, 1995), 40.
- 4. Told in James Gleick, Chaos: Making a New Science (New York: Viking, 1987), 121.
- 5. M. J. Feigenbaum, "Quantitative universality for a class of nonlinear transformations," *Journal of Statistical Physics* 19 (1978): 25-52.

- 1. Pierre Simon Marquis de Laplace, A Philosophical Essay on Probabilities, 6th ed., trans.
- F. W. Truscott and F. L. Emory (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1961), 3-4.
- 2. The real roots of chaos theory are found in the work of Henri Poincaré (1854–1912), a French mathematician, and his work on the three-body problem. In response to a mathematical competition to honor the king of Sweden, Poincaré discovered that the equations for the three-body problem exhibited chaos. His various papers on this subject were published in the 1890s.
- 3. Quoted in James T. Cushing, *Philosophical Concepts in Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 1998), 169.

INDEX

a posteriori, 24
a priori, 24
Abel, 147, 148
Abraham, 104, 147, 148, 150, 216, 226, 237
absolutism, 16
absorption, 159, 160
adam, 127
Adam, 146, 147, 148, 237
adamah, 127
age-day theory, 112
agreement with data, 11
Akashi, Hiroshi, 146
allegory, 147
Alpha Centauri, 55
alpha helix, 142
amblyopia, 48
amillenialists, 221
amino acids, 141, 142
analogies, 10
animal geography, 115, 124
aionas (eons), 96
anomalies, 13, 14
Anthropic Principles, 35, 97, 123, 124
antimatter, 66
antipartcle, 66, 69
Apollinarians, 199
apologetics, 92
Apostles Creed, 30
Aratus, 86 Archimedes, 4, 37, 38
Archimedes, 4, 57, 58 Arians, 199
Aristotle (Aristotelian), 1, 4, 22, 24, 25, 26,
28, 29, 39, 41, 47, 52, 53, 85, 86, 92,
93, 94, 103, 106, 110, 133, 134, 189,
233, 241
Arminianism, 49, 195, 197, 243
asah (make), 126
Aspect, Alain, 170
asteroid belt, 212
astronomy, 212
atoms, 154

attractor, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209 attributive model for God, 41, 42, 43 Augustine of Hippo, 25, 103 australopithecines, 144, 145 Australopithecus afarensis, 144, 145 Australopithecus africanus, 144, 145 Australopithecus anamensis, 144 Australopithecus boisei, 144, 145 Australopithecus robustus, 144, 145 authority, 27

Bacon, Francis, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 229 bara (create), 126 Barbour, Ian, 11, 35, 39 Barnabas, 84 Barth, Karl, 221, 222 Barzun, Jacques, 96 basic Christian assumptions, 17 beauty, 11 Becher, Johann, 13 Behe, Michael, 122 Bell's Theorem, 170 Bell John, 169 Bernoulli, Daniel, 11 Bessel, Friedrich, 55 biblical worldview, 4 bifurcated, 211 Big Bang Theory, 39, 40, 52, 64, 65-70, 72, 92, 94, 96, 97, 98, 242, 243 Big Crunch, 69, 97 Bilbert, Walter, 146 binary thinking, 186 binomial nomenclature, 112 biochemical, 122 biochemistry, 122 biology, 112 biosphere, 223 black body, 156 black body radiation, 155, 157 black hole, 59 Blake, William, 36 Bohm, David, 172, 173

Bohr, Niels, 159, 160, 163, 171, 172, 190,	Columbus, Christopher, 4, 243
197, 198, 241	combustion, 13, 14
Bonaparte, Napoleon, 234	common ancestry, 119
Bondi, Hermann, 64	communication, 19, 20, 27, 33, 50, 83, 179,
Born, Max, 163, 164, 172	181, 216
bosons, 65, 66	communion, 241
Boyle's Law, 8, 9, 10, 11	comparative anatomy, 118
Boyle, Robert, 8, 35	complementarity, 172, 197
de Broglie, Louis, 160, 161, 162, 164, 169,	complexification, 223
171, 172	Compton, Arthur Holly, 159, 160
Bryan, William Jennings, 242	conflict, 34
Buckland, William, 112, 113	Confucianism, 47, 102
Buddha, 17, 101	consciousness, 19
Buddhism, 4, 16, 18, 21, 47, 51, 91, 92,	consonance, 39, 40, 92, 94, 229, 231
102, 127, 178, 190, 191, 198, 221	consonant, 190
Buffon, George Leclerc, Comte de, 112	continuous, 157, 197
Bultmann, Rudolf, 221	convection, 203
	conviction, 24
Cain, 147, 148	Copenhagen Interpretation, 171, 172, 173,
Calvin, John, 241	193
Calvinism, 49, 195, 197, 243	Copernicus, Nicolaus, 12, 39, 53, 88, 93,
Cambrian period, 116	243
Cann, Rebecca L., 146	Cosmic Backgraound Explorer (COBE), 64
catastrophes, 112	cosmic background radiation, 64, 65
catastrophism, 113	cosmogony, 51, 52, 63, 64, 72, 124, 243
causality, 172, 192, 209	cosmological constant, 62
Cavendish, Henry, 13	cosmology, 35, 39, 40, 51-70, 71, 92, 93,
cepheid variables, 57	94, 95, 202
cerebralisation, 223	cosmos, 71, 77, 94, 205, 222, 235
certainty, 144, 153, 218, 228, 244	cosmosphere, 223
Chain, Ernst Boris, 38	counter culture, 17
chairos time, 74	covenant, 182, 184, 185
chaos, 33, 75, 201, 202-214, 216, 217, 218,	creatio ex nihilo, 5, 53, 64, 191, 192
219, 220, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 234,	creation, 51, 94, 106, 125, 126, 128, 142,
235, 236, 245	191, 217, 228, 242
chaos theory, 83, 106, 187, 201, 202, 210,	creation accounts, 81-84
212, 213, 234, 235, 243	creativity, 214
chemistry, 8, 14	creator, 4, 18, 106
chicken pox, 213	critical density, 69
Chinese culture, 4, 102	culture, 4, 16, 17
Christian(s), 18, 21, 102, 191, 196, 198, 244	Curie, Madame, 245
Christian Science, 191	Cuvier, Georges, 112
Christian worldview, 16, 101	cyclical view of history, 227
Christianity, 15, 16, 17, 72, 132, 178, 182,	and P.S. 45, 45, 4 April annual to the Co.
195, 198, 221, 222, 232, 239, 242, 243	dark matter, 70
chronos time, 74	darkness, 71, 75, 87, 91, 126, 128, 186, 217
City of God, 26	Darper, J. W., 34
Clauser, John, 170	Darwin, Charles, 113, 114, 115, 120, 122,
closed-curve attractor, 207, 208	140, 240
Clouds of Magellan, 56, 61, 62	Darwin, Erasmus, 113
codon, 121, 122	Darwinian evolutionists, 115-123, 149
coherence, 11	Darwinism, 116
collapse of the wave function, 189	David, 105, 132, 133, 216

Davies, Paul, 171	emission, 159, 160
Davisson, Clinton, 162	empirical, 6, 44, 45, 46, 156, 228
day, 75-81, 95, 112, 242	empiricism, 6, 21, 24, 28, 29, 44, 47, 91
deduction, 10	end, the, 72, 77, 95, 139, 147, 177, 194,
defribrillator, 213	195, 215, 216, 217, 218, 220, 221, 226,
deism, 95, 195, 243	235
deist, 95, 178	enlightenment, 178, 198
determinacy, 171, 175, 194, 196, 197, 216,	Enlightenment, the, 195
217, 219	Epicurean, 84, 85, 86
determinism, 155, 174, 192, 195, 196, 201,	Epicurus, 85
211, 214, 216, 217, 218, 222, 229, 230,	epilepsy, 213
234	Epimenides, 86
dialectic, 223	epistemology, 29, 157, 159, 161
dialectical realism, 224	EPR paradox, 169, 170, 171, 194
dialogue, 35, 38	Esau, 105
diamond in the sky, 59	eschatology, 201, 221
diffraction, 162	eschaton, 221
diffusion, 11	ethics, 245, 246
Dirac, Paul, 11, 36	Eutychians, 199
discrete, 157, 197	Eve, 148
disorder, 204, 205	Everett, Hugh, 173
Dispensationalism, 49, 243	evolution, 94, 107, 108, 113-124, 144, 149,
dissonance, 39, 40, 92, 229, 231	150, 222, 242, 243
DNA, 115, 119, 121, 140, 141, 146, 218,	evolution, Darwinian, 115, 123, 149
245	evolution, Lamarckian, 115, 149
	evolution, neo-Darwinian, 115
Docetists, 199	evolution, synthetic theory, 115
Doppler effect, 62, 63	exaltation, 201
Dorif, Robert L., 146	existentialism, 91, 132, 243
double slit experiment, 165, 166, 167, 168,	existentiansin, 91, 132, 243
169, 171, 173	f.:.L 10 27 20 20 40 40 72 72 02 04
Drees, Willem B., 39	faith, 18, 27, 28, 30, 48, 49, 72, 73, 83, 84,
Druid, 194	182, 215, 218, 233, 239, 240, 244, 245
dualism, 90, 102, 198	Fall, the, 5
dynamic, 52, 94, 95, 205, 210, 235	fate, 194, 195
To a control of the c	Feigenbaum number, 211
Eastern Orthodox Church, 241	Feigenbaum, Mitchell, 211
eastern religions, 244	Feynman, Richard, 174
Ebionites, 199	Finney, Charles, 228
Edison, Thomas, 24	firmament, 82
eigenvalues, 163, 193	fixed-point attractor, 206, 207
Einstein, Albert, 11, 36, 38, 62, 63, 74, 78,	Fleming, Alexander, 37, 38
88, 89, 93, 158, 159, 160, 169, 170,	Florey, Howard Walter, 38
171, 190, 194, 241	Form, 24, 26, 41, 85, 134, 233
electric eye, 158	formative history, 6
electricmagnetic force, 65, 66, 68	fossils, 111, 112, 116, 117, 124
electromagnetic radiation, 70, 159, 194	fractal, 208, 209
electromagnetic spectrum, 160	fragmentation, 46, 47
electromagnetic waves, 162	free will, 155, 175, 187, 195, 197, 201
electrons, 154, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162,	Freedman, Stuart, 170
168, 169, 173, 186, 196, 197, 244	freedom, 195
elegance and simplicity, 11	frequency, 157, 158, 159
elliptical, 61	Freud, Sigmund, 31, 235, 236
embryology, 118	fruitfulness, 12

fullerene, 197 functional models of God, 40 fundamental forces, 65, 66, 67, 97, 99

galactic shapes, 61 Galapagos Islands, 114 galaxy, 61, 67, 69, 103 Galileo, 34, 39, 103, 104, 229, 241 Gamow, George, 64 gap theory, 112 gauge particles, 65 general theory of relativity, 62, 92 genetics, 124 geocentric, 52 Geothe, J. W. von, 36 Gideon, 105 God of the gaps, 105, 122, 176, 177 Gold, Thomas, 64 Goodwin, Thomas, 243 Gould, Steven Jay, 34, 35 grace, 177 gradualism, 117 graph (plot) the data, 8 graviton, 66 gravity, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 74, 96, 97, 98 Greek culture, 4

H. M. S. Beagle, 114 Hagar, 147 Haldane, J. B. S., 140 Halley's Comet, 203, 229, 230 hard sciences, 235 Hartshorne, Charles, 225 Hawking, Stephen, 72, 93 heart, 137 heart fibrillation, 213 Hebrew verbs, 79 Hegalianism, 223 Hegel, G. W. F., 223 Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle, 164, 165, 172, 173, 192, 193, 234 Heisenberg, Werner, 164, 165, 172, 189, 190, 194, 210 heresies, 199 Herschel, William, 54, 55 Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, 57, 58 hidden variables, 169, 170, 171 Hinduism, 16, 18, 21, 43, 51, 90, 91, 101, 127, 178, 191, 194, 198, 220, 221 historic period, 226 history, 226

history of the universe, 66

Hitler, Adolf, 137, 236

Hocking, W. E., 225 Holy Spirit, 108, 176, 184, 185 hominids, 115, 144 Homo erectus, 145 Homo habilis, 145 Homo sapiens, 145, 146 Homo sapiens sapiens, 145, 146, 150 Hoyle, Fred, 64, 97 Hubble, Edwin, 63, 64 Hubble Space Telescope, 57, 59 Huggins, William, 62 human freedom, 197 human spirit - six domains, 31 humanism, 195 Hyerion, 212 hypothesis, 10, 123 Hypothetico-Deductive Method, 10

Idea, 125 Ideal, 22, 41, 85, 125, 126, 232 ideology, 240, 242 Image, 22, 26, 41, 125, 126, 233 image of God, 5, 26, 33, 107, 126, 127, 132, 134, 136, 138, 146, 179, 216, 228, 232 imaginary time, 93 imperfect time, 79 imperialism, 242 in spiritus, 177 incandescence, 155 incarnation, 51, 133, 153, 175, 179, 181, 182, 184, 186, 187, 196, 198, 199 independence, 34 indeterminacy, 155, 164, 172, 173, 175, 196, 197, 201, 216, 217, 220, 222 induction, 8, 229 inflationary period, 68 information, 121, 122 initial conditions, 203, 204, 208, 209, 214, 231 inspiration, 177 integrated, 35 integration, 38 intelligence, 122 intelligent design, 117, 118, 119, 120, 122 intensive, 80 interference, 160, 167, 169, 172 interference, constructive, 160, 167 interference, destructive, 161, 167 interpretation, 48, 240 intervention, 177, 219 inverse, 10 irreducibly complex, 122, 124

irregular, 61

Isaac, 237 Lewis, C. S., 232 liberalism, Protestant, 138 Islam, 16, 19, 51, 72, 132, 178, 182, 186, 195, 198, 220 life, 125 life cycle of a star, 58 Jacob, 105, 216 life, definition of, 109 Jameson, Robert, 112, 215 life, diversity, 109 Jefferson, Thomas, 95 life, origin and evolution of, 115 life, similarities, 109 Jesus Christ, 28, 30, 47, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, light, 51, 71, 75, 79, 87, 91, 126, 128, 186, 95, 100, 133, 134, 138, 151, 175, 180, 182, 183, 184, 185, 215, 217, 218, 220, 217 light year, 55 222, 233 limitations, 5 Jews, 21, 191 Job, 28, 29, 219 linear history, 226, 227 Johanson, Don, 144 linear relationship, 8 Linnaeus, Carolus, 112 John Paul II, 38 John the Apostle, 30, 183 living fossils, 117 John the Baptist, 183 Local Group, 62 locality, 172 Jonah, 84, 218 logarithm, 9 Joseph, 105, 237 judgment, 221 Logos, 85 Judaism, 16, 18, 43, 47, 72, 132, 178, 182, Lorenz attractor, 204 Lorenz, Edward N., 203, 204, 205, 209 186, 195, 198, 220 Jupiter, 212 Luther, Martin, 241 Jurassic Park, 202 Lyell, Charles, 113, 114 karma, 194, 195 macro, 177 Keats, John, 37 macroevolution, 116, 120 macroscopic, 11, 154, 165 Kepler, Johannes, 12, 53, 229 kinetic energy, 11 Magellanic Clouds, 56, 61 Kinetic Theory of Gases, 11, 12 main sequence, 58 Kirkwood gaps, 212 main sequence star, 57 knowledge, 246 Malthus, Thomas, 114 Koch snowflake, 208, 209 manic depression, 213 Kuhn, Thomas, 12 Mann, Alan, 144 Many Universes Interpretation, 173 Kushner, Harold, 220 Mars, 3, 212 Marx, Karl, 224, 227, 236 Lamarck, Jean Baptiste, 112, 113 massive compact halo objects (MACHO), laminar flow, 210 70 Laplace, Pierre Simon de, 54, 155, 230, 234, 235 materialism, 91 materialistic naturalism, 102 Lavoisier, Antoine, 14, 15 law, 231, 232 matter stage, 68 Law of Conservation of Mass, 14 matter wave, 169 May, Robert, 210, 211 Law of Noncontradiction, 197 Law of Universal Gravitation, 54, 155, 229 Mayr, Ernst, 124 McGwire, Mark, 192 laws, 16 Laws of Motion, 54, 153, 155, 192, 229, McMullin, Ernan, 39 measles, 213 243 laws of nature, 103, 178 medicine, 212 Mendel, Gregor, 115 Leakey, Louis, 145 Leakey, Richard, 144 Messiah, 133 Messier, Charles, 55 Lemâitre, Georges, 63, 64 leptons, 65, 66, 68, 69 metaphor, 75, 131

metaphysical, 7, 18, 73, 75, 176	nineteenth century liberalism, 73
meteorite (ALH84001), 3	nirvana, 221
meteorologist, 202	Nobel Prize, 38, 159, 161, 162, 163, 165,
microevolution, 120	174
microscopic, 11	nonchaotic systems, 210
Milky Way Galaxy, 52, 54, 55, 56, 61, 62,	nonlocality, 173
63, 94	nonoverlapping magisteria (NOMA), 35
millenium, 221	nonrandom, 205
Miller, Stanley, 141	noosphere, 223
Miller-Urey experiment, 141	novas, 58
mind, 23, 24, 46, 137, 173, 183, 222, 223,	Novum Organum, 7
224, 225, 244	
miracle, 178, 196, 218, 221	Occum's Razor, 11
Misner, Charles, 36	Omega Point, 223
mitochondria, 146	ontogeny recapitulates the phylogeny, 118
modalism, 102, 198	ontological model for God, 43, 45, 91n
model(s), 10, 40, 41, 42, 43, 196, 197, 208,	ontology, 157, 159, 161, 186, 194, 196
211, 212	Oparin, Alexander, 140
modern age, 16, 31, 45, 46, 73, 75, 76, 77,	operator, 163
80, 81, 91, 93, 94, 129, 130, 140, 147,	optical isomers, 141
148, 150, 195	orbit, 196
modernity, 49, 130	orbitals, 163
Mohammed, 43, 220	order, 33, 75, 204, 210, 219
momentum, 11	ordinary matter, 70
Monad, Jacques, 34	origin of human life, 143
monistic, 85, 87, 90, 91	origin of life, 127, 140, 142
Mosaic Law, 24	origin of life experiments, 141
Moses, 105, 216, 220, 237	Otto, Rudolf, 19, 21, 27
Mount Wilson Observatory, 57	oxygen, 13, 14
multiple origins theory, 145	
Muslims, 21, 191	Paley, William, 35
mutation, 116, 123	paradigm, 12, 13, 14
mysterium, tremendum, et fascinans, 20, 21	paradox, 198
	paraklete, 185
natural philosopher, 112	parallax, 12, 55, 56
natural selection, 113, 116, 123, 150, 242	parsec, 55
natural theology, 35, 112	particle, 157, 158, 160, 169, 170, 197
naturalism, 6, 7, 31, 102, 103, 104, 106,	particle stage, 68
242, 243	particle twins experiment, 169, 170, 173
naturalists, 104, 112	Paul, 27, 84, 85, 96, 103, 134, 143, 147,
nebula, 54	186, 218
nebulae, 55	Pauli, Wolfgang, 172
neo-Darwinism, 115, 141, 143, 149	Peacocke, Arthur, 35
neoorthodox theology, 73, 221, 222, 243	penicillin, 38
nephesh hayah (breathing being), 135	Penzias, Arno A., 65
Nestorians, 199	peppered moths, 120
neutron star, 59	period-doubling route to chaos, 211, 214,
neutrons, 154, 162, 197	234
Newton, Isaac, 35, 53, 88, 93, 94, 153, 154,	Peter, 78, 216
155, 160, 192, 195, 196, 202, 229, 230,	Peters, Ted, 38, 39
235, 243, 245	Pharisees, 47
Newtonian physics, 13, 229	phase space, 206
Nicene Creed, 198	philosophers, 17

philosophical, 175	quanta, 157, 159
philosophy, 21, 30, 72, 226, 240	quantized, 157, 159, 170
philosophy of history, 226	quantum, 170, 180
Phlogiston Theory, 39	quantum cosmology, 93
phlogiston, 13	quantum gravity, 93
photoelectric cell, 158	quantum jump, 159, 188, 190
photoelectric effect, 155, 157, 158, 160	quantum leap, 159, 189
photons, 66, 67, 158, 159	quantum mechanics, 93, 155, 169, 170, 174,
phototube, 158	181, 188, 191–99, 200, 234, 235, 243,
physical, 18, 22, 27, 28, 50	245
physical anthropology, 143	quantum physics, 13, 69, 175, 201
physical objects, 6	quantum theory, 31, 106, 155, 188, 189,
physical science, 236	191, 192, 202, 234
physical systems, 6	quantum wave mechanics, 162, 163, 170
Pilot-Wave Interpretations, 172	quantum world, 154, 165, 169, 188, 219
Pius XII, 92	quarks, 65-69
Planck's constant, 157, 159, 165	quasar, 64, 65
Planck, Max, 156, 157, 159, 171, 194	
planets, 60	radiation stage, 68
plasma, 69	radio galaxies, 62
plate tectonics, 116, 124	radioactive, 189, 190, 193, 194
Plato, 1, 4, 22-26, 28, 29, 33, 41, 47, 85,	raisin bread model, 67
86, 103, 125, 126, 135, 232, 233	random, 202, 205, 211, 234, 235
Plato's Parable of the Cave, 23	randomness, 205, 214
Podolsdy, Boris, 169	rational, 45
Pohl, Frederik, 174	rationalism, 21, 28, 29, 91, 176
Poincare, Henri, 252	Ray, John, 112
polytheism, 20, 43	reactants, 14
Popper, Karl, 12	red giants, 57, 58
populism, 242	red-shift, 62, 63
positron, 66	reductionism, 7, 214, 236
postmillenialists, 221	Reformation, 4, 195
postmodern age, 16, 17, 91	Reformed Theology, 220
postmodernity, 49	regeneration, 185
pragmatism, 21	relational model for God, 43, 44, 186
predictability, 208-210, 214, 217, 218, 219,	relativism, 16
234, 235	relativity, 13, 170, 243
premillenialists, 221	relativity, general Theory of, 62, 92
Priestly, Joseph, 13-15	relativity, special theory of, 11, 12
primeval atom, 64	religion, 235, 236, 241
probabilistic predictions, 163	religious knowledge, 16
process theology, 94, 221, 222, 243	Renaissance, 4, 51
products, 14	resurrection, 47, 76, 77, 143
prophecy, 195	revelation, 5, 19, 27, 49, 51, 73, 77, 81, 83,
proteins, 115, 141, 142	130, 148, 177–79, 195, 199, 216, 220,
protons, 154, 162, 197	222
psyche, 135, 136	revelation, general, 83, 222
Ptolemy, 4, 12, 52, 53, 88, 93, 94	revelation, specific, 83, 222
pulsars, 59	RNA, 115, 141
punctuated equilibrium, 117	Roman Church, 241
purpose, 128, 130, 132, 133, 138, 142, 149,	romantic poets, 36
150, 217, 218, 219, 228	Rosen, Nathan, 169
Pythagoras, 4	ruach (wind, breath, spirit), 176

Ruelle, David, 208

Russell, Robert John, 39, 40 Rutherford, Daniel, 13 Rutherford, Ernest, 159 sabbath, 80 sacred books, 178 Sadducees, 47 Sagan, Carl, 6, 31, 34 Sarah, 147, 148 Saturn, 212 Saul, 232 Schrödinger's Cat Paradox, 190 Schrödinger, Erwin, 162-64, 190, 193, 194 science, 101, 102, 195, 222, 239, 240, 241, 244, 245 science and faith, 34 science of the ancient world, 88 scientific knowledge, 16 scientific method, 1-15, 84, 93, 96, 213, 214, 226, 235, 246 scientific way of knowing, 17 scientism, 34 scientist, 112 Scofield Reference Bible, 73 scope, 12 Scopes Monkey Trial, 241 self-consciousness, 19 Seth, 147, 148 sexual reproduction, 116 Shapley, Harlow, 55, 56, 63 Shelley, Mary, 140 Shintoism, 21, 51 Shiva, 220 Shroud of Turin, 5 sin, 102, 132, 137, 138, 181, 237 single origins theory, 146 single slit experiment, 166, 168 singularity, 52, 59, 66-69, 92, 93 Sirius, 55 skepticism, 91 Slipher, Vesto, 63 slit, 166, 167 social Darwinism, 240, 242 social gospel, 243 social sciences, 235, 236 Socrates, 85 soft sciences, 235 solar system model of atom, 155, 159 Solomon, 29, 227 Son of Man, 180, 221 Sosa, Sammy, 192 soul, 135, 136

sovereignty, 175, 186, 187, 196, 197, 200, 219, 220, 237 specialization, 96 species, 112 Spengler, Oswald, 227 spin, 170 spiral, 61 spirit, 31, 32, 33, 136, 137, 138, 216 Spirit of God, 176, 178, 181, 184, 218, 228 spiritual, 22 Stah, Georg, 13 star, 57, 67, 69 stasis, 117 static, 178, 206, 216 static universe, 52, 56, 62, 94, 95, 131, 245 Steady State Theory, 64, 65, 94 Stoic, 84-87, 90 Stoneking, Mark, 146 strange attractor, 207-209, 211, 213, 214, 234, 235 strong nuclear force, 65-68, 97, 99 subatomic world, 154, 170 Substance, 24, 26, 28, 41, 103, 134, 233 superclusters, 62 supernova, 59 survival of the fittest, 116 Takens, Floris, 208 Taoism, 21, 51, 90, 91, 102, 198 taxonomic classification systems, 109 taxonomy, 124 technology, 245 Teilhard de Chardin, 222, 223 Temple, William, 223, 224 theodicy, 219 theology, 72, 73, 102, 195, 196, 220, 246 theology of nature, 35, 123 theories, 10, 12, 28 Theresa, Mother, 137 thermal conductivity, 11 Thomas Aguinas, 26, 35, 39, 53, 92, 103, 106, 150 Thomism, 49, 92 Thomson, George Paget, 162 Thomson, J. J., 162 three-body problem, 230, 252 time, 51, 71, 74, 76-81, 87, 95, 130, 131, 139, 148, 151, 175, 177, 179, 182, 184, 215, 242 Titan, 212 tohu wavohu, 75, 217 torus attractor, 207, 208 Townes, Charles, 245

Toynbee, Arnold, 227 transitional forms, 117 Trinity, 43, 44, 153, 175, 181, 184–87 truth, 16 Tupper, Frank, 220 turbulent, flow, 210, 230, 234

ultraviolet catastrophe, 156 uncertainty, 169, 171, 174, 192, 194, 215 uniformitarianism, 113 unity with God, 182 universal gravitation, 192 universe, 96, 104 university, 46 unpredictability, 215–17, 228 Urey, Harold, 141 Ussher, Archbishop James, 147, 245

values, 32, 128, 138, 142, 150, 232, 246 van der Waals, 12 variables, 8 Vedas, 191 velocity, 155 vestigal organ, 119 viscosity, 11 voluntative form, 79 von Neuman, John, 173

Wallace Line, 114 Wallace, Alfred Russell, 113–15 wave, 157, 160, 166, 168, 169, 197 wave equation, 162, 192, 193 wave function, 163, 172, 173, 189, 190 wave theory, 158, 159 wave-particle duality, 155, 160, 162, 172 wavelength, 157, 159, 160 weak nuclear force, 65, 66, 68 weakly interacting massive particles (WIMP), 70 weather, 202, 205 Western science, 17 Wheeler, John, 173 Whewell, William, 112 white dwarf, 57, 59 White, A. D., 34 Whitehead, Alfred North, 4, 224, 225 William of Occum, 11 Wilson, Allan C., 146 Wilson, Robert W., 65 wisdom, 75 Wordsworth, William, 36 worldview, 4, 15-17, 22, 25, 47, 51, 72, 76, 80, 81, 84, 86, 87, 94, 99, 101, 102, 112, 127, 128, 137, 174, 204, 217, 239, 240, 246

yin and yang, 198

Zeilinger, Anton, 162 Zen Buddhism, 4, 43, 190, 198 Zoroastrianism, 90, 198